



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/712,335 | 11/14/2000 | Bjorn Markus Jakobsson | 30-6 | 8563 |
| 7 | 590 09/08/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| Ryan Mason & Lewis LLP | | | GURSHMAN, GRIGORY | |
| 90 Forest Avenue Locust Valley,NY 11560 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 2132 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | | Ali-atiNi- | Anglianda | W | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-----|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | V | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/712,335 | JAKOBSSON ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Grigory Gurshman | 2132 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply | tion appears on the cover sheet wi | h the correspondence address | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faild Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. 8 sys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB | rply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed o | n <u>14 November 2000</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)[| oxtimes This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction | withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the E. The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 November 20</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by | 200 is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyare correction is required if the drawing | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority doc Certified copies of the priority doc Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for | cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | pplication No received in this National Stage | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information Paper | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- er No(s)/Mail Date 11/14/2000. | -948) Paper No(s | ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | è : | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/712,335 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. New corrected drawings are required in this application because the figures are drawn by hand. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because "a software program" not claimed as executable on the computer is descriptive material per se and is not statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison (U.S. Patent No. 5.870.468) in view of Akiyama (U.S. Patent No. 5.784.464).
- 5. Referring to the instant claims Harrison discloses enhanced data privacy for portable computers (see abstract and Fig.4). Harrison teaches a method for protecting selected files in a portable computer system. With this invention a user selects a set of riles on a hard disk of the system for protection. This invention uses an encryption key, a secret key and an algorithmic transform to protect the selected files. With this invention the selected files are encrypted with the encryption key, and two copies of the encryption key are scrambled, one with the secret key and one with the transform of the secret key (see abstract and Fig. 1).
- 6. Referring to the independent claims 1, 14 and 15, the limitation "configuring the software program ... such that one or more files generated by the program are ate least partially encrypted using a first cryptographic associated with a current time interval ..." is met by file protection agent software (18) depicted in Fig. 2, which encrypts files with encryption key. The key is generated for the particular set of files (see Fig.1) and the key is used in accordance with the preset time limit (see block 6). Therefore the encryption key is associated with the time interval, as recited in the instant claims.

While Harrison teaches the use of a second encryption key, he does not explicitly teach providing periodic updates of the encryption key associated with a subsequent time interval.

Referring to the instant claims, Akiyama teaches that the contents of a variety of titles that are managed by the service provider system 1 are encrypted beforehand with the

Art Unit: 2132

respective keys KG.sub.1j. It is required that the encryption be re-performed by periodically updating the title keys KG.sub.1. As a premise of this process of FIG. 14, it is assumed that the second master key (KM2) 185 of the key management unit 18 is absolutely identical with the second master key (KM2) 163 of the key update processing unit 16. Further, the title key KG.sub.1j used once is to be disposed of without reusing it. and, hence, the second master keys 163, 185 used for generating the title key KG.sub.1j are changed each time the key is updated. In the next step S45, the key update timer 17 waits a certain time limit (e.g., after midnight on every Sunday) and then changes over the respective SW1, SW2, SW4, SW5 (see column 17, lines 63-67) through column 18, lines 1-20). Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a software program configured to encrypt files with the key associated with the time interval of Harrison and provide periodic updates including encryption keys associated with subsequent time intervals as taught in Akiyama. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have a software program configured to encrypt files with the key associated with the time interval and provide periodic updates including encryption keys associated with subsequent time intervals as taught in Akiyama for eliminating a risk of the unlawful decryption thereof by a third party (see Akiyama column 17, lines 66-68).

- 7. Referring to claim 2, Harrison shows that files encrypted with the first encryption key are decryptable by programs having a corresponding decryption key (see Fig. 6).
- 8. Referring to claim 4, Harrison also teaches the use of a secret key, which meets the limitation "symmetric cryptographic key".

Application/Control Number: 09/712,335

Art Unit: 2132

Page 5

- 9. Referring to claim 5, Akiyama teaches that one encryption key is produces from the second key by using the one-way function (see column 17, keys generated from the master key).
- 10. Referring to claim 7, Harrison shows that the time interval defined by the idle timer (33) is uniquely associated with the encryption key (3) see Fig. 5.
- 11. Referring to claim 10, Harrison teaches that the files are encrypted with the interval of the idle timer, thereby providing the compatibility of the versions of encryption keys as shown in Fig. 6.
- 12. Referring to claim 11, Akiyama shows the use of network for distributing content for the server to the client (see Fig.2)
- 13. Referring to claims 12 and 13, it is well known in the art to provide software updates in an automatic manner as well as to use identifiers associated with the number of legitimate copies. One o of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide software updates in an automatic manner as well as to use identifiers associated with the number of legitimate copies in order to avoid the use of software by unauthorized parties.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Grigory Gurshman whose telephone number is (703) 306-2900. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM-5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/712,335

Art Unit: 2132

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703) 305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

60,

GG

Grigory Gurshman Examiner Art Unit 2132

GILBERTO BARRON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100